



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ll  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,103	12/29/2003	John H. Bailey	15826-202001/II-03-007	9585
26231	7590	04/19/2005	EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 5000 BANK ONE CENTER 1717 MAIN STREET DALLAS, TX 75201				LE, JOHN H
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
		2863		

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/750,103	BAILEY ET AL.
	Examiner John H. Le	Art Unit 2863

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application.
  - 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) 45 is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-44 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 December 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
    1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
    3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/30/2004.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Information Disclosure Statement***

1. The information disclosure statement filed 08/15/2003 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because reference number 25 ("Ultrasonic Measurements of Plastic Stain in Pipelines", Paul Panetta et al.) does not have date. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609 ¶ C(1).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-2, 14, 20, 27, 33, and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stein et al. (USP 6,192,751) in view of Ziegler et al. (USP 4,730,650).

Regarding claims 1-2, 14, 20, 27, 33, and 39, Stein et al. disclose a system for measuring fluid in a container (tank)(e.g. Figs.2-3, Col.5, lines 12-35), the system

comprising: one or more transducers (14) operable to: introduce an elastic wave to a container wall, detect an elastic wave that has propagated at least partially around a container wall, and generate a signal representative of a detected the elastic wave; and a computer (signal processor 26) operable to determine a state of a fluid in a container based on a signal representing an elastic wave that has propagated at least partially around a container wall (e.g. Col.2, lines 54-61, Col.9, lines 3-11).

Stein et al. fail to disclose the transducer is a vibration transducer.

Ziegler et al. teach a transducer (4) that introduce a vibration to a container wall; detect an introduced vibration that has propagated at least partially around a container wall (e.g. Fig.3, Abstract, Col1, lines 8-13, Col.3, lines 15-30).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a transducer that introduce a vibration to a container wall; detect an introduced vibration that has propagated at least partially around a container wall as taught by Ziegler et al. in a system for measuring fluid in a container of Stein et al. for the purpose of providing a device for detecting filling level of liquid in a container (Ziegler et al., Abstract).

4. Claims 1-2, 5-12, 14-18, 20-24, 26-31, 34-37, 39, and 40-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stein et al. (USP 6,192,751) in view of Fred Schloss et al. (USP 3,224,246).

Regarding claims 1-2, 14, 20, 27, 33, and 39, Stein et al. disclose a system for measuring fluid in a container (tank)(e.g. Figs.2-3, Col.5, lines 12-35), the system comprising: one or more transducers (14) operable to: introduce an elastic wave to a

container wall, detect an elastic wave that has propagated at least partially around a container wall, and generate a signal representative of a detected the elastic wave; and a computer (signal processor 26) operable to determine a state of a fluid in a container based on a signal representing an elastic wave that has propagated at least partially around a container wall (e.g. Col.2, lines 54-61, Col.9, lines 3-11).

Regarding claims 5-6, 26, Stein et al. disclose the one or more transducers (14, 16) are adapted to couple to the exterior of a container (Fig.1), a fluid state comprises a fluid level (e.g. Col.9, lines 3-11).

Stein et al. fail to disclose the transducer is a vibration transducer.

Fred Schloss et al. teach a vibration motion of a container means produces elastic wave in the liquid pool (e.g. Col.4, lines 69-71, Col.6, lines 42-43).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a vibration motion of a container means produces elastic wave in the liquid pool as taught by Fred Schloss et al. in a system for measuring fluid in a container of Stein et al. for the purpose of providing a hydrophone calibrator unit and a sturdy environmental test container (Fred Schloss et al., Col.2, lines 11-29).

Regarding claims 7 and 21, Stein et al. disclose the computer (signal processor 26) determines a fluid state in a container (e.g. Col.9, lines 3-11) based on the time for an introduced vibration (elastic wave 28) to propagate at least partially around a container wall to a detecting transducer (14, 16)(e.g. Col.4, lines 32-38).

Regarding claims 8 and 22, Stein et al. disclose the computer (signal processor 26) determines a fluid state in a container (e.g. Col.9, lines 3-11) based on the

amplitude of an introduced vibration at detection (elastic wave 28)(e.g. Col.7, lines 50-64).

Regarding claims 9 and 23, Stein et al. disclose the computer (signal processor 26) determines a fluid state in a container based on: the time for an introduced vibration to propagate at least partially around a container wall to a detecting transducer (14, 16)(e.g. Col.4, lines 32-38); and the amplitude of the introduced vibration at detection (elastic wave 28)(e.g. Col.7, lines 50-64).

Regarding claims 10-12, 24, Stein et al. disclose the computer (signal processor 26) is further operable to control an introducing transducer (14); the computer is operable to control the amplitude (e.g. Col.7, lines 50-64) and frequency of vibrations introduced by the introducing transducer (e.g. Col.7, lines 5-18); the computer (signal processor 26) is further operable to determine a second fluid state (e.g. Fig.2, Col.4, lines 42-67).

Regarding claims 15-18, 28-31, and 34-37, 40-43, Stein et al. disclose determining a fluid state based on the detection of the vibration comprises determining the time for the vibration to propagate at least partially around the container wall to a detection point (e.g. Col. 7, lines 23-49); determining a fluid state based on the detection of the vibration comprises determining the amplitude of the vibration at detection (e.g. Col.7, lines 50-64); determining a fluid state based on the detection of the vibration comprises: determining the time for the vibration to propagate at least partially around the container wall to a detection point (e.g. Col.4, lines 32-38); and determining the amplitude of the vibration at detection (e.g. Col.7, lines 50-64);

controlling the introduction of the vibration (e.g. signal processor 26 control an introducing transducer (14), Fig.1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a vibration motion of a container means produces elastic wave in the liquid pool as taught by Fred Schloss et al. in a system for measuring fluid in a container of Stein et al. for the purpose of providing a hydrophone calibrator unit and a sturdy environmental test container (Fred Schloss et al., Col.2, lines 11-29).

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stein et al. (USP 6,192,751) in view of Fred Schloss et al. (USP 3,224,246) as applied to claims 1-2 above, and further in view of Shinha (USP 6,053,041).

Regarding claim 3, the combination of Stein et al. and Fred Schloss et al. discussed supra, discloses the claimed invention except the first transducer comprises an air transducer.

Shinha discloses an air transducer for measuring the fill level of container (e.g. Col.1, line 65-Col.2, line 6).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include an air transducer for measuring the fill level of container as taught by Shinha in a system for measuring fluid in a container of Stein et al. in view of Fred Schloss et al. for the purpose of providing a method for determining the liquid level in a container (Shinha, Col.3, lines 9-23).

6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stein et al. (USP 6,192,751) in view of Fred Schloss et al. (USP 3,224,246) as applied to claims 1-2 above, and further in view of Utran ("Modern Ultrasonic Tranducers", 1999).

Regarding claims 4, the combination of Stein et al. and Fred Schloss et al. discussed supra, discloses the claimed invention except the first transducer generates a vibration between approximately 30 kHz and 150 kHz.

Utran ("Modern Ultrasonic Transducers", 1999) discloses a transducer generates a vibration between approximately 30 kHz and 150 kHz (e.g. "Modern Ultrasonic Transducers", Page 2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a transducer generates a vibration between approximately 30 kHz and 150 kHz as taught by Utran in a system for measuring fluid in a container of Stein et al. in view of Fred Schloss et al. for the purpose of providing propagating ultrasound for analyzing the transmitted signals in a given medium (Utran, Page 6, paragraph 1).

7. Claims 13, 19, 25, 32, 38, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stein et al. (USP 6,192,751) in view of Fred Schloss et al. (USP 3,224,246) as applied to claims 1-2 above, and further in view of Foreman et al. (USP 3,958,458).

Regarding claims 13, 19, 25, 32, 38, and 44, the combination of Stein et al. and Fred Schloss et al. discussed supra, discloses the claimed invention except a wireless

communication device operable to send a wireless signal representing a generated signal to the computer.

Foreman et al. discloses a wireless communication device operable to send a wireless signal representing a generated signal to the computer (e.g. Col.1, lines 61-65, Col.5, lines 44-48, lines 58-61).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a wireless communication device as taught by Foreman et al. in a system for measuring fluid in a container of Stein et al. in view of Fred Schloss et al. for the purpose of providing a an acoustic emission fluid flow measurement system for determination of change in fluid flow direction and fluid density (Shinha, Col.3, lines 9-23).

***Allowable Subject Matter***

8. Claim 45 is allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 45, none of the prior art of record teaches or suggests the combination of a system for measuring fluid in a container, wherein the system comprising: a container for holding a fluid, the container comprising a wall having an inner surface and an exterior surface; a first transducer coupled to the exterior surface of the container wall near the top of the container, the first transducer operable to introduce a vibration to the container wall; a second transducer coupled to the exterior surface of the container wall near the top of the container, the second transducer

operable to detect the vibration after it has propagated at least partially around the container wall and to generate a signal representative of the vibration at detection; a wireless communication device coupled to the second transducer, the wireless communication device operable to send a wireless signal representing the generated signal; and a second wireless communication device, the second wireless communication device operable to receive the wireless signal; a computer coupled to the wireless communication device, the computer operable to: determine if a signal representative of the vibration at detection has been received; determine a fluid mass in the container based on the time for the vibration to propagate at least partially around the wall from the first transducer to the second transducer, determine a fluid volume based on the fluid mass, determine a fluid level based on the fluid volume, and control the amplitude and frequency of the vibration introduced by the first transducer. It is these limitations as they are claimed in the combination with other limitations of claim, which have not been found, taught or suggested in the prior art of record, that make these claims allowable over the prior art.

***Other Prior Art***

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Birchak et al. (USP 6,412,354) disclose vibrational forced mode fluid property monitor and method.

***Contact Information***

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John H Le whose telephone number is 571-272-2275. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E Barlow can be reached on 571-272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John H. Le  
Patent Examiner-Group 2863

April 12, 2005

**BRYAN BUI  
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

